

BRANCHING LAWS FOR MINIMAL HOLOMORPHIC REPRESENTATIONS

HENRIK SEPPÄNEN

ABSTRACT. In this paper we study the branching law for the restriction from $SU(n, m)$ to $SO(n, m)$ of the minimal representation in the analytic continuation of the scalar holomorphic discrete series. We identify the the group decomposition with the spectral decomposition of the action of the Casimir operator on the subspace of $S(O(n) \times O(m))$ -invariants. The Plancherel measure of the decomposition defines an L^2 -space of functions, for which certain continuous dual Hahn polynomials furnish an orthonormal basis. It turns out that the measure has point masses precisely when $n - m > 2$. Under these conditions we construct an irreducible representation of $SO(n, m)$, identify it with a parabolically induced representation, and construct a unitary embedding into the representation space for the minimal representation of $SU(n, m)$.

1. INTRODUCTION

One of the most important problems in harmonic analysis and in representation theory is that of decomposing group representations into irreducible ones. When the given representation arises as the restriction of an irreducible representation of a bigger group, the decomposition is referred to as a *branching law*. One of the most famous examples of this is the Clebsch-Gordan decomposition for the restriction of the tensor product of two irreducible $SU(2)$ -representations (which is a representation of $SU(2) \times SU(2)$) to the diagonal subgroup. For an introduction to the general theory for compact connected Lie groups, we refer to [11].

Since the work by Howe ([7]) and by Kashiwara-Vergne ([9]), the study of branching rules for singular and minimal representations on

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 22E45, 32M15, 33C45, 43A85.

Key words and phrases. Unitary representations, Lie groups, branching law, bounded symmetric domains, real bounded symmetric domains.

spaces of holomorphic functions on bounded symmetric domains has been an active area of research. In [8], Jakobsen and Vergne studied the restriction to the diagonal subgroup of two holomorphic representations. More recently, Peng and Zhang ([22]) studied the corresponding decomposition for the tensor product of arbitrary (projective) representations in the analytic continuation of the scalar holomorphic discrete series. Zhang also studied the restriction to the diagonal of a minimal representation in this family tensored with its own anti-linear dual ([33]).

The restriction of the representations given by the analytic continuation of the scalar holomorphic discrete series to symmetric subgroups (fixed point groups for involutions) has been studied recently by Neretin ([18], [17]), Davidson, Ólafsson, and Zhang ([2]), Zhang ([32], [34]), van Dijk and Pevzner ([30]) and by the author ([28]).

All the above mentioned decompositions have the common feature that they are multiplicity free. This general result follows from a recent theorem by Kobayashi ([13]), where some geometric conditions are given for the action of a Lie group as isometric automorphisms of a Hermitian holomorphic vector bundle over a connected complex manifold to guarantee the multiplicity-freeness in the decomposition of any Hilbert space of holomorphic sections of the bundle. The action of a symmetric subgroup on the trivial line bundle over a bounded symmetric domain then satisfies these conditions (cf. [14]).

In this paper we study the branching rule for the restriction from $G := SU(n, m)$ to $H := SO(n, m)$ of the minimal representation in the analytic continuation of the scalar holomorphic discrete series. We consider the subspace of $L := S(O(n) \times O(m))$ -invariants and study the spectral decomposition for the action of Casimir element of the Lie algebra of H . The diagonalisation gives a unitary isomorphism between the subspace of L -invariants and an L^2 -space with a Hilbert basis given by certain continuous dual Hahn polynomials. The main theorem is Theorem 9, where the decomposition on the group level is identified with this spectral decomposition. The Plancherel measure turns out to have point masses precisely when $n - m > 2$. The second half of the paper is devoted to the realisation of the representation associated with one of these points and the unitary embedding into the representation space for the minimal representation. The main theorem of the second half is Theorem 21.

The paper is organised as follows. In Section 2 we begin with some preliminaries on the structure of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, the group action, and the minimal representation. In Section 3 we construct an orthonormal basis for the subspace of L -invariants. In Section 4 we compute the action of the Casimir elements on the L -invariants and find its diagonalisation. We also state the branching theorem. In Section 5 we construct an irreducible representation of the group H (for $n - m > 2$, i.e., when point masses occur in the Plancherel measure), identify it with a parabolically induced representation, and finally we construct a unitary embedding that realises one of the discrete points in the spectrum.

Acknowledgement: The author would like to thank his advisor Professor Genkai Zhang for support and for many valuable suggestions during the preparation of this paper.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Let $\mathcal{D}$ be the bounded symmetric domain of type $I_{mn}(n \geq m)$, i.e.,

$$(1) \quad \mathcal{D} := \{z \in M_{nm}(\mathbb{C}) \mid I_n - zz^* > 0\}.$$

Here $M_{nm}(\mathbb{C})$ denotes the complex vector space of $n \times m$ matrices. We let G be the group $SU(n, m)$, i.e., the group of all complex $(n + m) \times (n + m)$ matrices of determinant one preserving the sesquilinear form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{n,m}$ on $\mathbb{C}^{n+m}$ given by

$$(2) \quad \langle u, v \rangle_{n,m} = u_1 \bar{v}_1 + \cdots + u_n \bar{v}_n - u_{n+1} \bar{v}_{n+1} - \cdots - u_{n+m} \bar{v}_{n+m}.$$

The group G acts holomorphically on $\mathcal{D}$ by

$$(3) \quad g(z) = (Az + B)(Cz + D)^{-1},$$

if $g = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}$ is a block matrix determined by the size of A being $n \times n$. The isotropy group of the origin is

$$\begin{aligned} K &:= S(U(n) \times U(m)) \\ &= \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & D \end{pmatrix} \mid A \in U(n), D \in U(m), \det(A) \det(D) = 1 \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$(4) \quad \mathcal{D} \cong G/K.$$

2.1. Harish-Chandra decomposition. Let θ denote the Cartan involution $g \mapsto (g^*)^{-1}$ on G . We use the same letter to denote its differential $\theta : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ at the identity. Here, we have identified $T_e(G)$ with $\mathfrak{g}$. Let

$$(5) \quad \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{p}$$

be the decomposition into the ± 1 eigenspaces of θ respectively. In terms of matrices,

$$(6) \quad \mathfrak{k} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & D \end{pmatrix} \mid A^* = -A, D^* = -D, \operatorname{tr}(A) + \operatorname{tr}(D) = 0 \right\},$$

$$(7) \quad \mathfrak{p} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & B \\ B^* & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\},$$

where the size A is $n \times n$.

The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ has a compact Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{t} \subset \mathfrak{k}$, where

$$(8) \quad \mathfrak{t} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} is_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & is_n & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & it_1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \cdots & it_m \end{pmatrix} \mid \begin{matrix} s_i, t_j \in \mathbb{R} \\ \sum_i s_i + \sum_j t_j = 0 \end{matrix} \right\}.$$

Its complexification, $\mathfrak{t}^{\mathbb{C}}$ (the set of complex diagonal traceless matrices), is a Cartan subalgebra of the complexification $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{sl}(n+m, \mathbb{C})$, where

$$(9) \quad \mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{k}^{\mathbb{C}} \oplus \mathfrak{p}^{\mathbb{C}}.$$

We let E_{ij} denote the matrix with 1 at the entry corresponding to the i th row and the j th column and zeros elsewhere. By E_{ij}^* we mean the dual linear functional, i.e., $E_{ij}^*(z) = z_{ij}$ for $z \in M_{nm}(\mathbb{C})$. Moreover, we define an ordered basis $\{F_j\}$ for $\mathfrak{t}^{\mathbb{C}}$ by

$$(10) \quad \begin{aligned} F_j &:= E_{jj}^* - E_{j+1, j+1}^*, \quad j = 1, \dots, n+m-1, \\ F_1 &\leq \cdots \leq F_{n+m-1}. \end{aligned}$$

The root system, $\Delta(\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}, \mathfrak{t}^{\mathbb{C}})$ is given by

$$(11) \quad \Delta(\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}, \mathfrak{t}^{\mathbb{C}}) = \{E_{ii}^* - E_{jj}^* \mid 1 \leq i, j \leq n+m, i \neq j\}.$$

We denote the root $E_{ii}^* - E_{jj}^*$ by α_{ij} . We define a system of positive roots, Δ^+ , by the ordering (10). Then

$$(12) \quad \Delta^+ = \{\alpha_{ij} | j > i\},$$

and we let Δ^- denote the complement so that $\Delta = \Delta^+ \cup \Delta^-$. For a root, α , we let $\mathfrak{g}^\alpha$ stand for the corresponding root space. Then $\mathfrak{g}^{\alpha_{ij}} = \mathbb{C}E_{ij}$. For a root space, $\mathfrak{g}^\alpha$, we either have $\mathfrak{g}^\alpha \subset \mathfrak{k}^\mathbb{C}$ or $\mathfrak{g}^\alpha \subset \mathfrak{p}^\mathbb{C}$. In the first case, we call the corresponding root compact, and in the second case we call it non-compact. We denote the sets of compact and non-compact roots by $\Delta_{\mathfrak{k}}$ and $\Delta_{\mathfrak{p}}$ respectively. Finally, we let $\Delta_{\mathfrak{p}}^+$ and $\Delta_{\mathfrak{p}}^-$ denote the set of non-compact positive roots and the set of non-compact negative roots respectively. We set

$$(13) \quad \mathfrak{p}^+ = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_{\mathfrak{p}}^+} \mathfrak{g}^\alpha,$$

$$(14) \quad \mathfrak{p}^- = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_{\mathfrak{p}}^-} \mathfrak{g}^\alpha.$$

These subspaces are abelian Lie subalgebras of $\mathfrak{p}^\mathbb{C}$. Moreover, the relations

$$(15) \quad [\mathfrak{k}^\mathbb{C}, \mathfrak{p}^+] \subseteq \mathfrak{p}^+, [\mathfrak{k}^\mathbb{C}, \mathfrak{p}^-] \subseteq \mathfrak{p}^-, [\mathfrak{p}^+, \mathfrak{p}^-] \subseteq \mathfrak{k}^\mathbb{C}$$

hold. We let $K^\mathbb{C}$, P^+ , and P^- denote the connected Lie subgroups of the complexification of G , $G^\mathbb{C}$, with Lie algebras $\mathfrak{k}^\mathbb{C}$, $\mathfrak{p}^+$, and $\mathfrak{p}^-$ respectively. The exponential mapping $\exp : \mathfrak{p}^\pm \rightarrow P^\pm$ is a diffeomorphic isomorphism of abelian groups. As subspaces of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}^\mathbb{C} = \mathfrak{sl}(n+m)$ we have the matrix realisations

$$(16) \quad \mathfrak{p}^+ = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & z \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mid z \in M_{nm}(\mathbb{C}) \right\},$$

$$(17) \quad \mathfrak{p}^- = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ z & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mid z \in M_{mn}(\mathbb{C}) \right\}.$$

The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}^\mathbb{C}$ can be decomposed as

$$(18) \quad \mathfrak{g}^\mathbb{C} = \mathfrak{p}^+ \oplus \mathfrak{k}^\mathbb{C} \oplus \mathfrak{p}^-.$$

On a group level, the multiplication map

$$(19) \quad P^+ \times K^\mathbb{C} \times P^- \rightarrow G^\mathbb{C}, (p, k, q) \mapsto pkq$$

is injective, holomorphic and regular with open image containing GP^+ . In fact, identifying the domain $\mathcal{D}$ with the subset

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & z \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mid z \in \mathcal{D} \right\} \subset \mathfrak{p}^+ \text{ and letting}$$

$$\Omega := \exp \mathcal{D} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} I_n & z \\ 0 & I_m \end{pmatrix} \mid z \in \mathcal{D} \right\},$$

there is an inclusion

$$(20) \quad GP^+ \subset \Omega K^{\mathbb{C}} P^-.$$

For $g \in G$, we let $(g)_+$, $(g)_0$, and $(g)_-$ denote its P^+ , $K^{\mathbb{C}}$, and P^- factors respectively. The action of g on $\mathcal{D}$ defined by

$$(21) \quad g(z) = \log((g \exp z)_+)$$

then coincides with the action (3). In fact, for $g = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}$, the Harish-Chandra factorisation is given by

$$(22) \quad \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} I_n & BD^{-1} \\ 0 & I_m \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A - BD^{-1}C & 0 \\ 0 & D \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I_n & 0 \\ D^{-1}C & I_m \end{pmatrix}.$$

For g as above, and $\exp z = \begin{pmatrix} I_n & z \\ 0 & I_m \end{pmatrix}$,

$$(23) \quad g \exp z = \begin{pmatrix} A & Az + B \\ C & Cz + D \end{pmatrix},$$

and hence

$$(24) \quad (g \exp z)_+ = \begin{pmatrix} I_n & (Az + B)(Cz + D)^{-1} \\ 0 & I_m \end{pmatrix}$$

by (22).

We also use the Harish-Chandra decomposition to describe the differentials $dg(z)$ for group elements g at points z . We identify all tangent spaces $T_z(\mathcal{D})$ with $\mathfrak{p}^+(\cong M_{nm}(\mathbb{C}))$. Then $dg(z) : \mathfrak{p}^+ \rightarrow \mathfrak{p}^+$ is given by the mapping

$$(25) \quad dg(z) = \text{Ad}((g \exp z)_0)|_{\mathfrak{p}^+}$$

(cf. [26]). In the explicit terms given by (22), this mapping is given by

$$dg(z)Y = (A - (Az + B)(Cz + D)^{-1}C)YD^{-1}, \quad Y \in M_{nm}(\mathbb{C}).$$

2.2. Strongly orthogonal roots. We recall that two roots, α and β , are *strongly orthogonal* if neither $\alpha + \beta$, nor $\alpha - \beta$ is a root. We define a maximal set of strongly orthogonal noncompact roots, Γ , inductively by choosing γ_{k+1} as the smallest noncompact root strongly orthogonal to each of the members $\{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_k\}$ already chosen. When the ordering of the roots is given as in (10), we get

$$(26) \quad \Gamma = \{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_m\}, \quad \gamma_j = E_{jj}^* - E_{j+n, j+n}^*.$$

We now let E_{γ_j} denote the elementary matrix that spans the root space $\mathfrak{g}^{\gamma_j}$. Then the real vector space

$$(27) \quad \mathfrak{a} := \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbb{R}(E_{\gamma_j} - \theta E_{\gamma_j})$$

is a maximal abelian subspace of $\mathfrak{p}$. We set

$$(28) \quad E_j := E_{\gamma_j} - \theta E_{\gamma_j}.$$

2.3. Shilov boundary. Let $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{D})$ denote the set of holomorphic functions on $\mathcal{D}$, and let $\mathcal{O}(\overline{\mathcal{D}})$ denote the subset consisting of those which have continuous extensions to the boundary. The Shilov boundary of $\mathcal{D}$ is the set

$$\mathcal{S} = \{z \in V \mid I_m - z^*z = 0\}.$$

It has the property that

$$(29) \quad \sup_{z \in \overline{\mathcal{D}}} |f(z)| = \sup_{z \in \mathcal{S}} |f(z)|, \quad f \in \mathcal{O}(\overline{\mathcal{D}}),$$

and it is minimal with respect to this property, i.e., no proper subset of $\mathcal{S}$ has the property. The set $\mathcal{S}$ can also be described as the set of all rank m partial isometries from $\mathbb{C}^m$ to $\mathbb{C}^n$. The group $K = U(n) \times U(m)$ acts transitively on $\mathcal{S}$ by

$$(g, h)(z) = gzh^{-1}.$$

To find the isotropy group of the fixed element $z_0 := \begin{pmatrix} I_m \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$, let $(g, h) \in U(n) \times U(m)$ and write g in the form

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix},$$

where A is of size $m \times m$. Then

$$gz_0h^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} h^{-1} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} Ah^{-1} \\ Ch^{-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

So, the equality $gz_0h^{-1} = z_0$ holds if and only if $A = h$ and $C = 0$. Since g is unitary, the last condition implies that also $B = 0$ and hence the isotropy group is

$$K_0 := (U(n) \times U(m))_{z_0} = \left\{ (g, h) \in U(n) \times U(m) \mid g = \begin{pmatrix} h & 0 \\ 0 & D \end{pmatrix} \right\}.$$

Thus we have the description

$$\mathcal{S} = K/K_0 = (U(n) \times U(m))/U(n-m) \times U(m)$$

of the Shilov boundary as a homogeneous space.

In the sequel, we will often be concerned with the submanifold $\mathcal{S}_\Delta$ of $\mathcal{S}$, where

$$(30) \quad \mathcal{S}_\Delta := \left\{ z_{\underline{\xi}} := \begin{pmatrix} \xi_1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & \xi_m \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{S} \mid \xi_1, \dots, \xi_m \in S^1 \right\}.$$

Also, we let $\text{diag}(\underline{\xi})$ denote the $m \times m$ -matrix $\begin{pmatrix} \xi_1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & \xi_m \end{pmatrix}$. The

identity

$$(31) \quad z_{\underline{\xi}} = \begin{pmatrix} \text{diag}(\underline{\xi}) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \text{diag}(\underline{\xi}) & 0 \\ 0 & I_{n-m} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I_m \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

identifies the matrices in the submanifold $\mathcal{S}_\Delta$ with certain cosets in K/K_0 .

2.4. **The real form $\mathcal{X}$.** Consider the mapping $\tau : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ defined by

$$(32) \quad \tau(z) = \bar{z},$$

where the conjugation is entrywise. It is an antiholomorphic involutive diffeomorphism of $\mathcal{D}$. We let $\mathcal{X}$ denote the set of fixed points of τ , i.e.,

$$(33) \quad \mathcal{X} = \{z \in \mathcal{D} | \tau(z) = z\}.$$

Moreover, τ defines an involution, which we also denote by τ , of G given by

$$(34) \quad \tau(g) = \tau g \tau^{-1}.$$

We let H denote the set of fixed points, i.e.,

$$(35) \quad H = G^\tau = \{g \in G | \tau(g) = g\}.$$

Clearly, $H = SO(n, m)$, i.e., the elements in G with real entries. The group H acts transitively on $\mathcal{X}$, and the isotropy group of 0 in H is $L := H \cap K$. Hence

$$(36) \quad \mathcal{X} \cong H/L.$$

2.5. **Minimal representation $\mathcal{H}_1$.** We recall that the Bergman kernel of $\mathcal{D}$ is given by

$$(37) \quad K(z, w) = \det(I_n - zw^*)^{-(n+m)}.$$

It has the transformation property

$$(38) \quad K(gz, gw) = J_g(z)^{-1} K(z, w) \overline{J_g(w)}^{-1},$$

where $J_g(z)$ denotes the complex Jacobian of g at z . We let $h(z, w)$ denote the function

$$(39) \quad h(z, w) = \det(I_n - zw^*).$$

Then, for real ν , the kernel

$$(40) \quad h(\cdot, \cdot)^{-\nu}$$

is positive definite if and only if ν belongs to the Wallach set, $\mathcal{W}$. Here,

$$(41) \quad \mathcal{W} = \{0, 1, \dots, m-1\} \cup (m-1, \infty)$$

(cf. [3]). The kernel $h(\cdot, \cdot)^{-\nu}$ satisfies the transformation rule

$$(42) \quad h(gz, gw)^{-\nu} = J_g(z)^{-\frac{\nu}{n+m}} h(z, w)^{-\nu} \overline{J_g(w)}^{-\frac{\nu}{n+m}}.$$

For $\nu \in \mathcal{W}$, we denote the Hilbert space defined by the kernel $h(\cdot, \cdot)^{-\nu}$ by $\mathcal{H}_\nu$. A projective representation, π_ν , of G is defined on $\mathcal{H}_\nu$ by

$$(43) \quad \pi_\nu(g)f(z) = J_{g^{-1}}(z)^{\frac{\nu}{n+m}} f(g^{-1}z).$$

We will be concerned with the so called minimal representation, i.e., with the representation π_1 on the space $\mathcal{H}_1$.

3. THE L-INVARIANTS

For any $\nu \in \mathcal{W}$, let

$$\mathcal{H}_\nu = \bigoplus_{\underline{k} := -(k_1\gamma_1 + \dots + k_m\gamma_m)} \mathcal{P}^{\underline{k}}$$

be the decomposition into K -types. Here $\Gamma = \{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_m\}$ is the maximal strongly orthogonal set in $\Delta_{\mathfrak{p}}^+$ with ordering $\gamma_1 < \dots < \gamma_m$ defined in the previous section, and

$$(44) \quad k_1 \geq \dots \geq k_m, k_i \in \mathbb{N},$$

and $\mathcal{P}^{\underline{k}}$ is a representation space for the K -representation of highest weight that is realised inside the space of homogeneous polynomials of degree $|\underline{k}| = k_1 + \dots + k_m$ on $\mathfrak{p}^+$. When $\nu = 1$, the weights occurring in this sum are all of the form

$$(45) \quad \underline{k} = -k\gamma_1$$

(cf. [3]). Taking L -invariants, we have

$$\mathcal{H}_1^L = \bigoplus_{\underline{k}} (\mathcal{P}^{\underline{k}})^L.$$

The data (K, L, τ) defines a Riemannian symmetric pair, and hence $(V^{\underline{k}})^L$ is at most one dimensional by the Cartan-Helgason theorem (cf. [6], Ch. IV, Lemma 3.6.).

We recall the compact Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{t} \subset \mathfrak{k}$ in (8). We let $\tilde{\mathfrak{t}}$ denote the Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{u}(n) \oplus \mathfrak{u}(m)$ consisting of all diagonal imaginary matrices, i.e., matrices of the form (8) but without the requirement that the trace be zero. Then we have an orthogonal decomposition

$$(46) \quad \tilde{\mathfrak{t}} = \mathfrak{t} \oplus \mathfrak{t}^\perp$$

given by the Killing form.

Any linear functional $l \in \mathfrak{t}^*$ extends uniquely to a functional on $\tilde{\mathfrak{t}}$ which annihilates the orthogonal complement $\tilde{\mathfrak{t}}^\perp$. We will denote these extensions by the same letter l . Therefore, any dominant integral weight on $\mathfrak{t}$ parametrises an irreducible representation of $\mathfrak{u}(n) \oplus \mathfrak{u}(m)$ in which $\tilde{\mathfrak{t}}^\perp$ acts trivially. When $\lambda = \underline{k} = k\gamma_1$ is a K -type occurring in $\mathcal{H}_1$, we denote the underlying representation space for $\mathfrak{u}(n) \oplus \mathfrak{u}(m)$ by V^λ . Moreover, the Cartan subalgebra $\tilde{\mathfrak{t}}$ is the sum

$$\mathfrak{t} = \mathfrak{t}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{t}_2$$

of the corresponding subalgebras of $\mathfrak{u}(n)$ and $\mathfrak{u}(m)$ respectively. The restrictions of λ to $\mathfrak{t}_1$ and $\mathfrak{t}_2$ respectively define integral weights, hence they parametrise irreducible representations of the Lie algebras $\mathfrak{u}(n)$ and $\mathfrak{u}(m)$ respectively. We denote the corresponding representation spaces by V_n^λ and V_m^λ . In what follows, λ will always denote the extension to $\mathfrak{u}(n) \oplus \mathfrak{u}(m)$ of a weight of the form $\underline{k}$ in (45). We will use the explicit realisations

$$(47) \quad V_n^\lambda = \overset{k}{\odot} \mathbb{C}^n,$$

where the right hand side denotes the symmetric tensor product defined as a quotient of the k -fold tensor product of $\mathbb{C}^n$. In the following, for a multiindex $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$, we let

$$(48) \quad |\alpha| := \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n,$$

$$(49) \quad \alpha! := \alpha_1! \dots \alpha_n!.$$

For any choice of orthonormal basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ for $\mathbb{C}^n$, the set

$$(50) \quad \{e^\alpha := e_1^{\alpha_1} \dots e_n^{\alpha_n} \mid |\alpha| = k\}$$

furnishes a basis for $\overset{k}{\odot} \mathbb{C}^n$. We fix an K -invariant inner product, $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{F}}$,¹ on $\overset{k}{\odot} \mathbb{C}^n$ by the normalisation

$$(51) \quad \|e_1^k\|_{\mathcal{F}}^2 = k!.$$

Observe that we have suppressed both the indices k and n here. For n fixed, the norm in fact equals the restriction of the norm defined on all polynomial functions on $\mathbb{C}^n$ (we use the natural identification $e^\alpha \leftrightarrow z^\alpha$ of symmetric tensor power with polynomial functions)

$$(52) \quad \langle p, q \rangle_k := p(\partial)(q^*)(0),$$

¹This is often called the *Fock-Fischer* inner product (cf. [3]).

where $p(\partial)$ is the differential operator defined by substituting $\frac{\partial}{\partial e_j}$ for e_j in p , and for $q = \sum_{\alpha} a_{\alpha} z^{\alpha}$, q^* is defined as

$$(53) \quad \left(\sum_{\alpha} a_{\alpha} z^{\alpha} \right)^* := \sum_{\alpha} \overline{a_{\alpha}} z^{\alpha}.$$

The suppressing of the index n will not cause any confusion in what follows. Finally, on the dual space V_m^{λ} we have the corresponding basis

$$(54) \quad \{(e^*)^{\alpha} := (e_1^*)^{\alpha_1} \cdots (e_n^*)^{\alpha_n} \mid |\alpha| = k\},$$

where $\{e_1^*, \dots, e_n^*\}$ is the dual basis to $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ with respect to the standard inner product on $\mathbb{C}^n$. We also let $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{F}}$ denote the K -invariant norm on V_m^{λ} normalised by

$$(55) \quad \|(e_1^*)^k\|_{\mathcal{F}}^2 = k!.$$

Lemma 1. *For any choice of orthonormal basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_m\}$ for $\mathbb{C}^m$ and extension $\{e_1, \dots, e_m, e_{m+1}, \dots, e_n\}$ to an orthonormal basis for $\mathbb{C}^n$, the vector*

$$\iota_{\lambda} := \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^m \\ |\alpha| = k}} f_{\alpha} \otimes f_{\alpha}^* \in V_n^{\lambda} \otimes (V_m^{\lambda})^*,$$

where $f_{\alpha} = \frac{e^{\alpha}}{(\alpha!)^{1/2}}$ and $f_{\alpha}^* = \frac{(e^*)^{\alpha}}{(\alpha!)^{1/2}}$, is K_0 -invariant.

Proof. We recall the identification of the isotropic subgroup of the fixed element z_0 with $U(n-m) \times U(m)$. From this it is clear that it suffices to prove that the vector $\iota_{\lambda} \in V_m^{\lambda} \otimes (V_m^{\lambda})^* \subset V_n^{\lambda} \otimes (V_m^{\lambda})^*$ is invariant under the restriction of the representation of $U(m) \times U(m)$ to the diagonal subgroup.

The vector space $V_m^{\lambda} \otimes (V_m^{\lambda})^*$ is naturally isomorphic to $\text{End}(V_m^{\lambda})$, the isomorphism being given by $(u \otimes v^*)(y) = v^*(y)u$. Then, if $y \in V_m^{\lambda}$ is the linear combination $y = \sum_{\beta} c_{\beta} f_{\beta}$,

$$\sum_{\alpha} f_{\alpha} \otimes f_{\alpha}^*(y) = \sum_{\alpha, \beta} c_{\beta} \langle f_{\beta}, f_{\alpha} \rangle f_{\beta} = y;$$

i.e., ι_λ corresponds to the identity operator. Moreover, for the action of $\mathfrak{u}(m)$ on the tensor product $V_m^\lambda \otimes (V_m^\lambda)^*$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} X(u \otimes v^*)(y) &= (Xu \otimes v^*)(y) + (u \otimes Xv^*)(y) \\ &= v(y)Xu + (Xv^*)(y)u \\ &= v(y)Xu + \langle y, Xv \rangle u \\ &= v(y)Xu - \langle Xy, v \rangle u \\ &= [X, u \otimes v^*](y), \end{aligned}$$

where $X \in \mathfrak{u}(m)$, $u \in V_m^\lambda$, $v^* \in (V_m^\lambda)^*$, i.e., the action as derivations of the tensor product corresponds to the commutator action on the endomorphisms. In particular, $X\iota_\lambda = 0$ for all X in $\mathfrak{u}(m)$. This proves the lemma. $\square$

Since the vectors in the representation space V^λ are holomorphic polynomials, they are determined by their restrictions to the Shilov boundary $\mathcal{S}$.

In the sequel, we use the Fock inner product to define an antilinear identification of V_m^λ with $(V_m^\lambda)^*$ by

$$v \mapsto v^*, \quad v^*(w) = \langle w, v \rangle_{\mathcal{F}}, \quad w \in V_m^\lambda.$$

We let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denote the inner product on the tensor product $V_n^\lambda \otimes (V_m^\lambda)^*$ induced by the Fock inner products on the factors.

Proposition 2. *The operator $T_\lambda : V_n^\lambda \otimes (V_m^\lambda)^* \rightarrow V^\lambda$ defined by*

$$T_\lambda(u \otimes v^*)(z) = \langle (g, h)\iota_\lambda, u \otimes v^* \rangle,$$

where $z = (g, h)K_0 \in \mathcal{S}$, is a $\mathbb{C}$ -antilinear isomorphism of $U(n) \times U(m)$ -representations.

Proof. We first observe that the left hand side is well defined as a function of z by the invariance of ι_λ .

The root system $\Delta(\mathfrak{u}(n) \oplus \mathfrak{u}(m), \mathfrak{t})$ is the union of the root systems $\Delta(\mathfrak{u}(n), \mathfrak{t}_1)$ and $\Delta(\mathfrak{u}(m), \mathfrak{t}_2)$. Fix choices of positive roots $\Delta^+(\mathfrak{u}(n), \mathfrak{t}_1)$, and $\Delta^+(\mathfrak{u}(m), \mathfrak{t}_2)$ respectively. We define a system of positive roots in $\Delta(\mathfrak{u}(n) \oplus \mathfrak{u}(m), \mathfrak{t})$ by

$$\Delta^+(\mathfrak{u}(n) \oplus \mathfrak{u}(m), \mathfrak{t}) := \Delta^+(\mathfrak{u}(n), \mathfrak{t}_1) \cup \Delta^+(\mathfrak{u}(m), \mathfrak{t}_2).$$

Let $u_\lambda \in V_n^\lambda$ be a lowest weight-vector, and $v_\lambda \in V_m^\lambda$ be a highest weight-vector. Then $u_\lambda \otimes v_\lambda^*$ is a lowest weight-vector in $V_n^\lambda \otimes (V_m^\lambda)^*$.

For $H = (H_1, H_2) \in \mathfrak{t}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{t}_2$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d}{dt}(T_\lambda(u_\lambda \otimes v_\lambda^*))(\exp tH \cdot z)_{t=0} \\
&= \frac{d}{dt} \langle (\exp tH_1 g, \exp tH_2 h) \iota_\lambda, u_\lambda \otimes v_\lambda^* \rangle_{t=0} \\
&= \frac{d}{dt} \langle (g, h) \iota_\lambda, (\exp -tH_1, \exp -tH_2)(u_\lambda \otimes v_\lambda^*) \rangle_{t=0} \\
&= \langle (g, h) \iota_\lambda, \lambda(-H_1)u_\lambda \otimes v_\lambda^* \rangle \\
&\quad + \langle (g, h) \iota_\lambda, u_\lambda \otimes \lambda(-H_2)v_\lambda^* \rangle \\
&= \lambda(H)T_\lambda(u_\lambda \otimes v_\lambda^*)(z).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus $T_\lambda(u_\lambda \otimes v_\lambda^*)$ is a vector of weight λ .

Any root vector in $\mathfrak{u}(n) \oplus \mathfrak{u}(m)$ lies in either of the components. Take therefore a positive root vector $E + iF \in \mathfrak{u}(n)^\mathbb{C}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
& (E + iF, 0)(T_\lambda(u_\lambda \otimes v_\lambda^*))(z) \\
&= \frac{d}{dt} \langle (\exp tEg, h) \iota_\lambda, u_\lambda \otimes v_\lambda^* \rangle_{t=0} \\
&\quad + i \frac{d}{dt} \langle (\exp tFg, h) \iota_\lambda, u_\lambda \otimes v_\lambda^* \rangle_{t=0} \\
&= \langle (g, h) \iota_\lambda, -(E - iF)u_\lambda \otimes v_\lambda^* \rangle \\
&= 0,
\end{aligned}$$

since $E - iF$ is a negative root vector. Similarly one shows that the positive root vectors in $\mathfrak{u}(m)$ annihilate $T_\lambda(u_\lambda \otimes v_\lambda^*)$. The function $T_\lambda(u_\lambda \otimes v_\lambda^*)$ on the Shilov boundary naturally extends to a holomorphic polynomial on $\mathcal{D}$ which belongs to $\mathcal{H}_1$. Hence $T_\lambda(u_\lambda \otimes v_\lambda^*)$ can be written as finite sum of highest weight-vectors from the K -types of $\mathcal{H}_1$. But it is a vector of weight λ , and so by the multiplicity-freeness of the K -type decomposition, $T_\lambda(u_\lambda \otimes v_\lambda^*)$ is a highest weight-vector in V^λ . $\square$

Lemma 3. *The space $(V^\lambda)^L$ is nonzero if and only if $\lambda = -2k\gamma_1$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$. In this case, it is one-dimensional with a basis vector ψ_k , where*

$$(56) \quad \psi_k(z_\xi) := \sum_{\substack{\beta \in \mathbb{N}^m \\ |\beta|=k}} \binom{k}{\beta}^2 (2\beta!) \xi^{2\beta},$$

where z_ξ is the matrix defined in (30).

Proof. We use the isomorphism from the proposition above. Then the first statement is obvious, since for any $\lambda = -j\gamma_1$, the representation space V_n^λ is isomorphic to the space of all polynomials of homogeneous degree j on $\mathbb{C}^n$, and the corresponding statement holds for V_m^λ . Assume therefore that $\lambda = -2k\gamma_1$.

Clearly, the vector $(e_1^2 + \dots + e_n^2)^k \otimes ((e_1^*)^2 + \dots + (e_m^*)^2)^k$ is an L -invariant vector in $V_n^\lambda \otimes (V_m^\lambda)^*$. We compute its image under T_λ when restricted to the matrices in $\mathcal{S}_\Delta$.

$$\begin{aligned} T_\lambda((e_1^2 + \dots + e_n^2)^k \otimes ((e_1^*)^2 + \dots + (e_m^*)^2)^k)(z_\xi) \\ &= \langle (g_\xi, I_m) \iota_\lambda, (e_1^2 + \dots + e_n^2)^k \otimes ((e_1^*)^2 + \dots + (e_m^*)^2)^k \rangle \\ &= \left\langle \sum_{\alpha} \xi^\alpha f_\alpha \otimes f_\alpha^*, (e_1^2 + \dots + e_n^2)^k \otimes ((e_1^*)^2 + \dots + (e_m^*)^2)^k \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{\alpha} \xi^\alpha \langle f_\alpha, (e_1^2 + \dots + e_n^2)^k \rangle \langle f_\alpha^*, ((e_1^*)^2 + \dots + (e_m^*)^2)^k \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Since the symmetric tensor $(e_1^2 + \dots + e_n^2)^k$ has the monomial expansion

$$(e_1^2 + \dots + e_n^2)^k = \sum_{|\beta|=k} \binom{k}{\beta} e^{2\beta},$$

we get the equality

$$T_\lambda((e_1^2 + \dots + e_n^2)^k \otimes ((e_1^*)^2 + \dots + (e_m^*)^2)^k)(z_\xi) = \sum_{|\beta|=k} \binom{k}{\beta}^2 (2\beta!) \xi^{2\beta}.$$

□

Theorem 4. *The polynomials φ_k of degree $2k$, for $k \in \mathbb{N}$, given by*

$$\varphi_k(z_\xi) = \frac{1}{4^k k! \left(\frac{m}{2}\right)_k^{1/2} \left(\frac{n}{2}\right)_k^{1/2}} \sum_{|\beta|=k} \binom{k}{\beta}^2 (2\beta!) \xi^{2\beta}$$

constitute an orthonormal basis for the subspace, $\mathcal{H}_1^L$, of L -invariants.

Proof. The only thing that is left to prove is the normalisation part of the statement, i.e., we need to compute the norms of the polynomials ψ_k .

Using the antilinear isomorphism T_λ , we can introduce an inner product

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle'_\lambda := \overline{\langle T_\lambda^{-1} \cdot, T_\lambda^{-1} \cdot \rangle},$$

where the the right hand side denotes the conjugate of the inner product on the tensor product induced by the Fock inner products on the factors, on V^λ . By Schur's lemma, the equality

$$\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{F}} = C_\lambda \|\cdot\|'_\lambda$$

holds on V^λ for some complex constant C_λ . To compute this constant, we compare the norms of the lowest weight-vector $u_\lambda \otimes v_\lambda^*$ and the highest weigh-vector $T_\lambda(u_\lambda \otimes v_\lambda^*)$ in their respective representation spaces. Let $\{e_1, \dots, e_m\}$ and $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ denote the standard orthonormal bases for $\mathbb{C}^n$ and $\mathbb{C}^m$ respectively. Then $u_\lambda \otimes v_\lambda^* = e_1^{2k} \otimes (e_1^{2k})^*$, and

$$\|e_1^{2k} \otimes (e_1^{2k})^*\| = (2k)!.$$

Moreover, the normalised lowest weight-vector $\frac{e_1^{2k} \otimes (e_1^*)^{2k}}{(2k)!}$ maps to

$$T_\lambda \left(\frac{e_1^{2k} \otimes (e_1^*)^{2k}}{(2k)!} \right),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} T_\lambda \left(\frac{e_1^{2k} \otimes (e_1^*)^{2k}}{(2k)!} \right) (z_{\underline{\xi}}) &= \xi_1^{2k} \\ &= p_{11}(z_{\underline{\xi}}), \end{aligned}$$

where p_{11} is the highest weight vector given by $p_{11}(z) = z_{11}^{2k}$. Since $\|p_{11}\|_{\mathcal{F}} = \sqrt{(2k)!}$, we see that $C_\lambda = \sqrt{(2k)!}$.

The norm of $(e_1^2 + \dots + e_n^2)^k \otimes (((e_1^*)^2 + \dots + (e_m^*)^2)^k)$ is straightforward to compute. In fact,

$$\|(e_1^2 + \dots + e_n^2)^k\|_{\mathcal{F}}^2 \|((e_1^*)^2 + \dots + (e_m^*)^2)^k\|_{\mathcal{F}}^2 = (k!)^2 \left(\frac{m}{2}\right)_k \left(\frac{n}{2}\right)_k.$$

Finally, we have the equality

$$(57) \quad \|\cdot\|_1^2 = \frac{1}{(2k)!} \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{F}}^2$$

(cf. [3]) relating the $\mathcal{H}_1$ -norm to the Fock-Fischer norm on the K -type $\underline{2k} = -2k\gamma_1$, and this ends the proof. $\square$

4. THE ACTION OF THE CASIMIR ELEMENT ON THE L-INVARIANTS

We consider the representation of the universal enveloping algebra $U(\mathfrak{h}^{\mathbb{C}})$ defined for all $X \in \mathfrak{h}$ by

$$(58) \quad f \mapsto \frac{d}{dt} \pi_1(\exp tX) f|_{t=0},$$

for f in the dense subspace, $\mathcal{H}_1^\infty$, of analytic vectors, and extended to a homomorphism $U(\mathfrak{h}^\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \text{End}(\mathcal{H}_1^\infty)$. We will denote this representation too by π_1 . We recall that the Casimir element, $\mathcal{C} \in U(\mathfrak{h}^\mathbb{C})$ is given by

$$(59) \quad \mathcal{C} = X_1^2 + \cdots + X_p^2 - Y_1^2 - \cdots - Y_q^2,$$

where $\{X_i, i = 1, \dots, \dim \mathfrak{q}\}$ and $\{Y_i, i = 1, \dots, \dim \mathfrak{l}\}$ are any orthogonal bases for $\mathfrak{q}$ and $\mathfrak{l}$ respectively with respect to the Killing form, $B(\cdot, \cdot)$, on $\mathfrak{h}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} B(X_i, X_i) &= 1, & i = 1, \dots, \dim \mathfrak{q}, \\ B(Y_i, Y_i) &= -1, & i = 1, \dots, \dim \mathfrak{l}. \end{aligned}$$

Consider now the left regular representation, l , of H on $C^\infty(H/L)$, i.e., $l(h)f(x) = f(h^{-1}x)$. We define an operator $R_1 : \mathcal{H}_1 \rightarrow C^\infty(H/L)$ by

$$(60) \quad R_1 f(x) := h(x, x)^{-1/2} f(x).$$

This is the *generalised Segal-Bargmann transform* due to Ólafsson and Ørsted (cf. [21]). A nice introduction to this transform in a more general context can also be found in Ólafsson's overview paper [20]. The following lemma is an immediate consequence of the transformation rule (42).

Lemma 5. *The operator $R_1 : \mathcal{H}_1 \rightarrow C^\infty(H/L)$ is H -equivariant.*

Moreover, the Casimir element acts on $C^\infty(H/L)$ as the Laplace-Beltrami operator, $\mathcal{L}$, for the symmetric space H/L . We recall the "polar coordinate map" (cf. [5], Ch.IX)

$$(61) \quad \begin{aligned} \phi : L/M \times A^+ &\rightarrow (H/L)', \\ (lM, a) &\mapsto laL \end{aligned}$$

Here $(H/L)' := H'/L$, where H' is the set of regular elements in H , and $A^+ = \exp \mathfrak{a}^+$, where

$$(62) \quad \mathfrak{a}^+ = \{t_1 E_1 + \cdots + t_m E_m \mid t_i \geq 0, i = 1, \dots, m\}.$$

The map ϕ is a diffeomorphism onto an open dense set in H/L . Hence, any $f \in C^\infty(H/L)^L$ is uniquely determined by its restriction to the submanifold $A^+ \cdot 0 = \psi(\{eM\} \times A^+)$. In fact, the restriction mapping $f \mapsto f|_{A^+ \cdot 0}$ defines an isomorphism between the spaces $C^\infty(H/L)^L$ and $C^\infty(A^+ \cdot 0)^{N_L(\mathfrak{a})/Z_L(\mathfrak{a})}$. The space $C^\infty(H/L)^L$ is invariant under the

Laplace-Beltrami operator. Recall that the radial part of the Laplace-Beltrami operator is a differential operator, $\Delta\mathcal{L}$, on the submanifold $A^+ \cdot 0$ with the property that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C^\infty(H/L) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} & C^\infty(H/L) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ C^\infty(A^+ \cdot 0) & \xrightarrow{\Delta\mathcal{L}} & C^\infty(A^+ \cdot 0) \end{array},$$

where the vertical arrows denote the restriction map, commutes.

Moreover, the functions in $\mathcal{H}_1^L$ are determined by their restrictions to the real submanifold H/L , and the L -invariant functions are determined by their restrictions to $A^+ \cdot 0$. By Lemma 5 and the above discussion, we have the following commuting diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{H}_1^L & \xrightarrow{\pi_1(C)} & \mathcal{H}_1^L \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ C^\infty(A^+ \cdot 0) & \xrightarrow{R_1^{-1}\Delta\mathcal{L}R_1} & C^\infty(A^+ \cdot 0) \end{array},$$

where, again, the vertical arrows denote the restriction maps.

In what follows, we will compute the action of the operator $R_1^{-1}\Delta\mathcal{L}R_1$ on the subspace $\mathcal{H}_1^L$.

The radial part of the Laplace-Beltrami operator of H/L is given by (cf.[6], Ch. II, Prop. 3.9)

$$\begin{aligned} 4\Delta\mathcal{L} &= \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t_j^2} + \sum_{m \geq i \geq j \geq 1} \coth(t_i \pm t_j) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t_i} \pm \frac{\partial}{\partial t_j} \right) \\ &+ (n-m) \sum_{j=1}^m \coth t_j \frac{\partial}{\partial t_j}. \end{aligned}$$

The coordinates t_i are related to the Euclidean coordinates x_i by $x_i = \tanh t_i$, i.e.,

$$(63) \quad A^+ \cdot 0 = \{(x_1, \dots, x_m) | 0 \leq x_1 \leq x_2 \leq \dots \leq x_m < 1\}$$

In the coordinates x_i , the operator $4R_1^{-1}\Delta\mathcal{L}R_1 := 4\mathcal{L}^1$ has the expression

$$\begin{aligned} 4\mathcal{L}^1 = & \sum_{i=1}^m \left(-(1-x_i^2) - x_i^2 - 2x_i(1-x_i^2) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} + (1-x_i^2)^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i^2} \right) \\ & + \sum_{i=1}^m \left(2x_i^2 - 2x_i(1-x_i^2) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \right) \\ & + (n-m) \sum_{i=1}^m \left(-1 - x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} + \frac{1}{x_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \right) \\ & + 2 \sum_{m \geq i > j \geq 1} \left(-1 + \frac{(1-x_i^2)(1-x_j^2)}{x_i^2 - x_j^2} \left(x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} - x_j \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

The following lemma is proved by a straightforward calculation. A proof for a similar decomposition can be found in [33].

Lemma 6. *The operator $4R_1^{-1}\Delta\mathcal{L}R_1$ can be written as a sum of three operators, $\mathcal{L}_-$, $\mathcal{L}_0$ and $\mathcal{L}_+$ that lower, keep and, respectively, raise the degrees of the polynomials ψ_k . In fact,*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_- &= \sum_{i=1}^m \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i^2} + \frac{n-m}{x_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \right) + 2 \sum_{m \geq i > j \geq 1} \frac{1}{x_i^2 - x_j^2} \left(x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} - x_j \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \right), \\ \mathcal{L}_0 &= -mn + \sum_{i=1}^m \left((-4 - (n-m))x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} - 2x_i^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i^2} \right) \\ &\quad - 2 \sum_{m \geq i > j \geq 1} \frac{x_i^2 + x_j^2}{x_i^2 - x_j^2} \left(x_j \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} - x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \right), \\ \mathcal{L}_+ &= \sum_{i=1}^m \left(2x_i^2 + 4x_i^3 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} + x_i^4 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i^2} \right) \\ &\quad + 2 \sum_{m \geq i > j \geq 1} \frac{x_i^2 x_j^2}{x_i^2 - x_j^2} \left(x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} - x_j \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 7. *The operator $\mathcal{L}^1$ acts on the (unnormalised) orthogonal system $\{\psi_k\}$ as the Jacobi operator*

$$\mathcal{L}^1 \psi_k = A_k \psi_{k-1} + B_k \psi_k + C_k \psi_{k+1},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 (64) \quad A_k &= 4k^4 + (4(m-2) + 2(n-m))k^3 \\
 &\quad + ((m^2 - 4m + 4) + (n-m)(m-2))k^2, \\
 B_k &= -2k^2 - \frac{n+m}{2}k - \frac{mn}{4}, \\
 C_k &= \frac{1}{4}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Proof. It follows from the above lemma that the operator is a Jacobi operator. In order to identify the coefficients A_k , B_k , and C_k , we evaluate the polynomials at points $(x_1, 0) := (x_1, 0, \dots, 0)$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{L}^+ \psi_k((x_1, 0)) &= \left(2x_1^2 + 4x_1^3 \frac{\partial_1}{\partial x_1} + x_1^4 \frac{\partial_1^2}{\partial x_1^2} \right) \psi_k((x_1, 0)) \\
 &= (2 + 8k + 2k(2k-1))(2k)! x_1^{2k+2} \\
 &= \frac{4k^2 + 6k + 2}{(2k+2)(2k+1)} \psi_{k+1}((x_1, 0)) \\
 &= \psi_{k+1}((x_1, 0)),
 \end{aligned}$$

whence $C_k = \frac{1}{4}$.

We now investigate the action of the operators $\frac{x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} - x_j \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}}{x_i^2 - x_j^2}$ that occur in $\mathcal{L}_-$ and in $\mathcal{L}_0$. For i and j fixed, we write the symmetric polynomial ψ_k as a sum (suppressing here the indices k, i and j in order to increase readability)

$$\psi_k = \sum_{c \geq d \geq 0} p_{c,d}(x) (x_i^{2c} x_j^{2d} + x_i^{2d} x_j^{2c}),$$

where the $p_{c,d}$ are symmetric polynomials in the variables other than x_i and x_j . The operator then acts on the second factor of each term, and

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\frac{x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} - x_j \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}}{x_i^2 - x_j^2} (x_i^{2c} x_j^{2d} + x_i^{2d} x_j^{2c}) \\
 &= 2(c-d)(x_i x_j)^{2d} (x_i^{2(c-d-1)} + \dots + x_j^{2(c-d-1)}).
 \end{aligned}$$

Evaluating the right hand side at $(x_1, 0)$ (whence $x_i = 0$) yields zero unless $d = 0$, in which case we get $2cx_j^{2(c-1)}$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{x_i^2 - x_j^2} \left(x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} - x_j \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \right) (\psi_k)((x_1, 0)) \\ &= \sum_{c=0}^k p_{c,0}((x_1, 0)) (2cx_j^{2(c-1)})((x_1, 0)). \end{aligned}$$

We now consider two separate cases.

- (1) If $j = 1$, then evaluating the polynomial $p_{c,0}$ at a point $(x_1, 0)$ yields zero unless it is a constant polynomial, i.e., unless $c = k$. In this case, $p_{k,0} = (2k)!$.
- (2) If $j \neq 1$, then evaluating $p_{c,0}2cx_j^{2(c-1)}$ at $(x_1, 0)$ gives zero unless $c = 1$, in which case we get the value

$$\begin{aligned} 2p_{1,0}(x_1, 0) &= 2 \left(\frac{k!}{(k-1)!} \right)^2 (2(k-1))! 2! x_1^{2k-2} \\ &= 4k^2 (2(k-1))! x_1^{2k-2}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{m \geq i > j \geq 1} \frac{1}{x_i^2 - x_j^2} \left(x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} - x_j \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \right) (\psi_k)((x_1, 0)) \\ &= (m-1)2k(2k)! x_1^{2k-2} + \binom{m-1}{2} 4k^2 (2(k-1))! x_1^{2k-2}. \end{aligned}$$

From this, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{L}_- \psi_k((x_1, 0)) \\ &= 2 \left((m-1)2k(2k)! x_1^{2k-2} + \binom{m-1}{2} 4k^2 (2(k-1))! x_1^{2k-2} \right) x_1^{2k-2} \\ & \quad + (2k(2k-1)(2k)! + (m-1)4k^2 (2(k-1))!) x_1^{2k-2} \\ & \quad + ((n-m)2k(2k)! + 4(m-1)(n-m)k^2 (2(k-1))!) x_1^{2k-2} \\ & \quad + (4(m^2 - 4m + 4) + 4(n-m)(m-2)) (2(k-1))! x_1^{2k-2}, \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} A_k &= 4k^4 + (4(m-2) + 2(n-m))k^3 \\ & \quad + ((m^2 - 4m + 4) + (n-m)(m-2))k^2. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we see that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_0\psi_k((x_1, 0)) &= (-mn + (-(n-m) - 4)2k - 4k(2k-1))(2k)!x_1^{2k} \\ &\quad - 2(m-1)2k(2k)!x_1^{2k} \\ &= (-8k^2 + (-4(m-1) - 2(n-m) - 4)k - mn)\psi_k((x_1, 0)),\end{aligned}$$

and hence the value of B_k . $\square$

Theorem 8. *The Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_1^L$ is isometrically isomorphic to the Hilbert space $L^2(\Sigma, \mu)$, where*

$$\Sigma = (0, \infty) \cup \left\{ i\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{n-m}{4} + k\right) \mid k \in \mathbb{N}, \frac{1}{2} - \frac{n-m}{4} + k < 0 \right\},$$

and μ is the measure defined by

$$\begin{aligned}(65) \quad \int_{\Sigma} f d\mu &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{\infty} \left| \frac{\Gamma(a+ix)\Gamma(b+ix)\Gamma(c+ix)}{\Gamma(2ix)} \right|^2 f(x) dx + \\ &\frac{\Gamma(a+c)\Gamma(c+b)\Gamma(b-c)\Gamma(a-c)}{\Gamma(-2c)} \times \sum_{\substack{j \in \mathbb{N} \\ c+j < 0}} \frac{(2c)_j(c+1)_j(c+b)_j(c+a)_j}{(c)_j(c-b+1)_j(c-a+1)_j} (-1)^j \\ &\quad \times f(-(c+j)^2),\end{aligned}$$

where the constants a, b , and c are given by

$$\begin{aligned}(66) \quad a &= \frac{m-1}{2} + \frac{n-m}{4}, \\ b &= \frac{1}{2} + \frac{n-m}{4}, \\ c &= \frac{1}{2} - \frac{n-m}{4}.\end{aligned}$$

Under the isomorphism, the operator $\mathcal{L}^1$ corresponds to the multiplication operator $f \mapsto -(a^2 + x^2)f$.

Proof. We recall the continuous dual Hahn polynomials, $S_k(x^2; a, b, c)$, (cf. [16]) defined by

$$(67) \quad \frac{S_k(x^2; a, b, c)}{(a+b)_k(a+c)_k} = {}_3F_2 \left(\begin{matrix} -k, a+ix, a-ix \\ a+b, a+c \end{matrix} \middle| 1 \right).$$

Here, $(\cdot)_k$ denotes the *Pochhammer symbol* defined as

$$\begin{aligned}(t)_0 &= 1, \\ (t)_k &= t(t+1) \cdots (t+k-1), \quad k \in \mathbb{N}^+.\end{aligned}$$

Suppressing the parameters and denoting the left hand side above by $\tilde{S}_k(x^2)$, these polynomials satisfy the recurrence relation

$$(68) \quad (a^2 + x^2)\tilde{S}_k(x^2) = A'_k\tilde{S}_{k-1}(x^2) + B'_k\tilde{S}_k(x^2) + C'_k\tilde{S}_{k+1}(x^2),$$

where the recursion constants A'_k, B'_k , and C'_k are given by

$$(69) \quad A'_k = k(k + b + c - 1),$$

$$(70) \quad C'_k = (k + a + b)(k + a + c),$$

$$(71) \quad B'_k = -(A'_k + C'_k).$$

Under a renormalisation of the form

$$S_k(x^2, a, b, c) \mapsto \alpha_k S_k(x^2, a, b, c) := S_k(x^2, a, b, c)^\alpha,$$

where α_k is some sequence of complex numbers, the corresponding polynomials $\tilde{S}_k^\alpha$ will also satisfy a recurrence relation of the type in (68), with constants, $A_k^\alpha, B_k^\alpha, C_k^\alpha$, given by

$$(72) \quad A_k^\alpha = \frac{\alpha_k}{\alpha_{k-1}} A'_k,$$

$$(73) \quad B_k^\alpha = B'_k,$$

$$(74) \quad C_k^\alpha = \frac{\alpha_k}{\alpha_{k+1}} C'_k.$$

From this we can see that the product $A'_{k+1}C'_k = A_{k+1}^\alpha C_k^\alpha$ is invariant.

Consider now the continuous dual Hahn polynomials with $S_k(x^2; a, b, c)$, with the parameters a, b, c from (66). These polynomials satisfy the orthogonality relation (cf. [16])

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\infty \left| \frac{\Gamma(a+ix)\Gamma(b+ix)\Gamma(c+ix)}{\Gamma(2ix)} \right|^2 S_k(x^2; a, b, c) S_l(x^2; a, b, c) dx \\ & + \frac{\Gamma(a+c)\Gamma(c+b)\Gamma(b-c)\Gamma(a-c)}{\Gamma(-2c)} \\ & \times \sum_{\substack{j \in \mathbb{N} \\ c+j < 0}} \frac{(2c)_j(c+1)_j(c+b)_j(c+a)_j}{(c)_j(c-b+1)_j(c-a+1)_j} (-1)^j \\ & \times S_k(-(c+j)^2; a, b, c) S_l(-(c+j)^2; a, b, c) \\ (75) \quad & = \Gamma(k+a+b)\Gamma(k+a+c)\Gamma(k+b+c)k!\delta_{kl}. \end{aligned}$$

By a straightforward computation one sees that the corresponding constants A'_k, B'_k , and C'_k are related to the Jacobi constants A_k, B_k ,

and C_k in (65) by

$$\begin{aligned} A_{k+1}C_k &= A'_{k+1}C'_k, \\ B_k &= B'_k. \end{aligned}$$

We can thus use (74) to define a sequence α_k recursively in such a way that the resulting polynomials $\tilde{S}_k^\alpha$ satisfy the recurrence relation

$$(76) \quad (a^2 + x^2)\tilde{S}_k^\alpha(x^2) = A_k\tilde{S}_{k-1}^\alpha(x^2) + B_k\tilde{S}_k^\alpha(x^2) + C_k\tilde{S}_{k+1}^\alpha(x^2)$$

with the same Jacobi constants as the operator $4\mathcal{L}^1$. More precisely, we set

$$(77) \quad \alpha_0 := \left(\Gamma\left(\frac{m}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) \right)^{-1/2},$$

$$(78) \quad \alpha_{k+1} := \frac{1}{4} \left(k + \frac{m}{2} \right) \left(k + \frac{n}{2} \right)^{-1} \alpha_k.$$

Then $\alpha_k = \left(\Gamma\left(\frac{m}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) \right)^{-1/2} 4^k \left(\frac{m}{2} \right)_k \left(\frac{n}{2} \right)_k$, and hence, by (75), we have

$$(79) \quad \|\tilde{S}_k^\alpha\|_{L^2}^2 = 4^{2k} (k!)^2 \left(\frac{m}{2} \right)_k \left(\frac{n}{2} \right)_k$$

$$(80) \quad = \|\psi_k\|_1^2.$$

Therefore, the operator $T_0 : \mathcal{H}_1^L \rightarrow L^2(\Sigma, d\mu)$ defined by

$$(81) \quad T_0 \psi_k = \tilde{S}_k^\alpha$$

is a unitary operator which diagonalises the restriction of the operator $\mathcal{L}^1$ to $\mathcal{H}_1^L$. $\square$

Theorem 9. *For each $x \in \Sigma$, there exists a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_x$ and an irreducible unitary spherical representation, π_x , of H on $\mathcal{H}_x$ such that*

- (1) *If $v_x \in \mathcal{H}_x$ is the canonical spherical vector, then there is an isometric embedding of Hilbert spaces $L^2(\Sigma, \mu) \subset \int_\Sigma \mathcal{H}_x d\mu(x)$ given by*

$$f \mapsto s_f,$$

where $s_f(x) := f(x)v_x$.

- (2) *The operator T_0 extends uniquely to an H -intertwining unitary operator*

$$(82) \quad T : (\pi_1, \mathcal{H}_1) \rightarrow \left(\int_\Sigma \pi_x d\mu(x), \int_\Sigma \mathcal{H}_x d\mu(x) \right).$$

Proof. The Banach algebra $L^1(H)$ equipped with convolution as multiplication carries the structure of a Banach $*$ -algebra when the involution $*$ is defined as $f^*(h) = \overline{f(h^{-1})}$. The representation π_1 of H induces a representation of $L^1(H)$ by

$$(83) \quad \pi(f) = \int_H f(h) \pi_1(h) dh.$$

If $L^1(H)^\#$ denotes the subalgebra of left and right L -invariant L^1 -functions, the closed C^* -algebra generated by $\pi_1(L^1(H)^\#)$ and the identity operator is a commutative C^* -algebra. Moreover, the Casimir operator $\pi_1(\mathcal{C})$ commutes with all the operators $\pi_1(f)$ for $f \in L^1(H)^\#$. Hence, (by [1], Vol. I, Thm 1, p. 77), the diagonalisation of the Casimir operator yields a simultaneous diagonalisation of the whole commutative algebra $\pi_1(L^1(H)^\#)$.

For $f \in L^1(H)^\#$, we let the function $\tilde{f} : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be the multiplier corresponding to the operator $T\pi_1(f)T^{-1} : L^2(\Sigma, \mu) \rightarrow L^2(\Sigma, \mu)$. For each $x \in \Sigma$, we let λ_x denote the multiplicative functional

$$(84) \quad \lambda_x(f) := \tilde{f}(x),$$

which clearly is bounded almost everywhere with respect to μ . The equality

$$\langle \pi_1(f) \varphi_0, \varphi_0 \rangle_1 = \int_\Sigma \lambda_x(f) d\mu(x)$$

holds for $f \in L^1(H)^\#$, i.e., the positive functional

$$(85) \quad \Phi_0(f) := \langle \pi_1(f) \varphi_0, \varphi_0 \rangle_1, f \in L^1(H)^\#$$

is expressed as an integral of characters.

By [28] (Thm. 10) there exists a direct integral decomposition into unitary spherical irreducible representations of the form (82), and it expresses the functional Φ_0 as an integral of characters against the corresponding measure. This measure is supported on the characters given by positive definite spherical functions. By [25] (Thm. 11.32), such an integral expression for bounded positive functionals is unique, and hence every character λ_x can be expressed by a positive definite spherical function ϕ_x as

$$\lambda_x(f) = \int_H f(h) \phi_x(h) dh.$$

The rest now follows from the proof of Thm. 10 in [28].

□

5. A SUBREPRESENTATION OF $\pi_1|_H$

Recall that the boundary $\partial\mathcal{D}$ is the disjoint union of m G -orbits. More specifically, for $j = 1, \dots, m$, let e_j denote the $n \times m$ matrix with 1 at position (j, j) and all other entries zero. Then

$$\partial\mathcal{D} = \bigcup_{r=1}^m G(e_1 + \dots + e_r)$$

and the inclusion

$$\overline{G(e_1 + \dots + e_{r+1})} \subseteq G(e_1 + \dots + e_r)$$

holds for $r = 1, \dots, m-1$. The Shilov boundary is the G -orbit of the rank m partial isometry $e_1 + \dots + e_m$. It is also the K -orbit of this element. We consider now the "real part", Y , of the Shilov boundary, i.e.,

$$(86) \quad Y := \mathcal{S} \cap M_{nm}(\mathbb{R}).$$

Then Y is the homogeneous space H/P_0 , where P_0 is the maximal parabolic subgroup defined by the one dimensional subalgebra

$$\mathfrak{a}_0 = \mathbb{R}(E_1 + \dots + E_m)$$

of $\mathfrak{a}$ (cf. (28)). We let $P_0 = M_0 A_0 N_0$ be the Langlands decomposition. Then Y can also be described as a homogeneous space $Y = L/L \cap M_0$. Consider the one dimensional representation with character

$$(87) \quad l \mapsto |\det \text{Ad}_{l/L \cap M_0}^{-1}(l)|$$

of $L \cap M_0$. The induced representation $\text{Ind}_{L \cap M_0}^L(|\det \text{Ad}_{l/L \cap M_0}^{-1}|)$ is realised on the space of sections of the density bundle of $Y = L/L \cap M_0$. The representation (87) is in fact trivial, and this allows us to define an L -invariant section, ω , by

$$(88) \quad \omega(l(L \cap M_0)) := l_{e(L \cap M_0)} \omega_0,$$

where $\omega_0 \neq 0 \in \mathcal{D}(T_{e(L \cap M_0)})$ is arbitrary, where $\mathcal{D}(T_{e(L \cap M_0)})$ denotes the vector space of densities on $T_{e(L \cap M_0)}$. The section ω then corresponds to a constant function $F_\omega : L \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. In the usual way, we will sometimes identify ω with the measure it defines by integration against continuous functions. We then use measure theoretic notation

and write $\int_Y \varphi d\omega$ for $\int_Y \varphi \omega$. Moreover, we choose ω_0 in (88) so that this measure is normalised.

Using the identification $\mathfrak{l}/\mathfrak{l} \cap \mathfrak{m}_0 \simeq \mathfrak{h}/\mathfrak{p}_0$, the representation (87) extends to the representation δ_0 of $\mathfrak{p}_0$ given by

$$(89) \quad \delta_0(m_0 a_0 n_0) = |\det(\mathrm{Ad}_{\mathfrak{h}/\mathfrak{p}_0}(m_0 a_0 n_0)^{-1})|.$$

Clearly, $\delta_0(m_0 a_0 n_0) = e^{2\rho_0(\log a_0)}$, where ρ_0 denotes the half sum of the restricted roots. The action of H as pullbacks (actually, the inverse mapping composed with pullback) on densities is equivalent to the left action defined by the representation $\mathrm{Ind}_{P_0}^H(\delta_0)$. For the extension of the function F_ω to a P_0 equivariant function $H \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ (which we still denote by F_ω), we then have

$$(90) \quad F_\omega(k_0 m_0 a_0 n_0) = e^{-2\rho_0(\log a_0)} F_\omega(k_0) = e^{-2\rho_0(\log a_0)} F_\omega(e).$$

From this, it follows that

$$(91) \quad h^* \omega(l(L \cap M_0)) = e^{-2\rho_0(\log A_0(hl))} \omega(l(L \cap M_0)).$$

The action of H on Y can either be described on the coset space H/P_0 in terms of the Langlands decomposition for P_0 , or in terms of the geometric action on the boundary of $\mathscr{D}$ defined by the Harish-Chandra decomposition. The next proposition expresses the transformation of ω under H in terms of the latter description.

Lemma 10. *The density ω transforms under the action of H as*

$$(92) \quad h^* \omega(v) = J_h(v)^{\left(\frac{n-1}{n+m}\right)} \omega(v).$$

Proof. The idea of the proof is to use the (non-unique) factorisation $H = LM_0 A_0 N_0$ of H . We prove that the group N_0 fixes the reference point $e_1 + \cdots + e_m$ and acts with Jacobian equal to one on the tangent space at $e_1 + \cdots + e_m$, and the group elements in M_0 have Jacobian equal to one at $e_1 + \cdots + e_m$. By the chain rule for differentiation, it then suffices to prove the statement for all group elements in A_0 .

In the Langlands decomposition $\mathfrak{p}_{\min} = \mathfrak{m} \oplus \mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{n}$ for the minimal parabolic subgroup, the subalgebra $\mathfrak{n}$ is generated by the restricted

root spaces

$$\begin{aligned}
\bigoplus_{m \geq j > i \geq 1} \mathfrak{h}_{E_j^* + E_i^*} &= \left\{ X_q = \begin{pmatrix} -q & 0 & q \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -q & 0 & q \end{pmatrix} \mid q^t = -q \right\}, \\
\bigoplus_{m \geq j > i \geq 1} \mathfrak{h}_{E_j^* - E_i^*} &= \left\{ X_u = \begin{pmatrix} u^t - u & 0 & u + u^t \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ u + u^t & 0 & u^t - u \end{pmatrix} \mid u \text{ is upper triang.} \right\}, \\
\bigoplus_{j=1}^m \mathfrak{h}_{E_j^*} &= \left\{ X_z = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & z^t & 0 \\ -z & 0 & z \\ 0 & z^t & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\},
\end{aligned}$$

where the matrices are written in blocks in such a way that the block-rows are of height $m, n-m$, and m respectively, and the block-columns are of width $m, n-m$, and m respectively.

In the Langlands decomposition $\mathfrak{m}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{a}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{n}_0$, the centraliser, $\mathfrak{m}_0$ of $\mathfrak{a}_0$ is the direct sum

$$\mathfrak{m}_0 = \mathfrak{m} \oplus \bigoplus_{m \geq j > i \geq 1} \mathfrak{h}_{E_j^* - E_i^*},$$

and

$$(93) \quad \mathfrak{n}_0 = \bigoplus_{m \geq j > i \geq 1} \mathfrak{h}_{E_j^* + E_i^*} \oplus \bigoplus_{j=1}^m \mathfrak{h}_{E_j^*}.$$

The matrices X_q and X_z commute, so in order to prove that the elements in N_0 have Jacobian equal to one at $e_1 + \cdots + e_m$, it suffices to consider elements of the form

$$\begin{aligned}
\exp X_q &= \begin{pmatrix} 1-q & 0 & q \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -q & 0 & 1+q \end{pmatrix}, \\
\exp X_z &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 - \frac{z^t z}{2} & z^t & \frac{z^t z}{2} \\ -z & 1 & z \\ -\frac{z^t z}{2} & z^t & 1 + \frac{z^t z}{2} \end{pmatrix}
\end{aligned}$$

separately.

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \exp X_q \exp(e_1 + \cdots + e_m) &= \begin{pmatrix} 1-q & 0 & q \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -q & 0 & 1+q \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 1-q & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -q & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

If we write this matrix in the block form $\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}$, then the $K^\mathbb{C}$ -component in the Harish-Chandra decomposition is given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} A - BD^{-1}C & 0 \\ 0 & D \end{pmatrix} = I_{n+m},$$

and hence

$$(94) \quad J_{\exp X_q}(e_1 + \cdots + e_m) = 1.$$

Next, we consider the action of $\exp X_z$. We have

$$\exp X_z \exp(e_1 + \cdots + e_m) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 - \frac{z^t z}{2} & z^t & 1 \\ -z & 1 & 0 \\ -\frac{z^t z}{2} & z^t & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Here, the $K^\mathbb{C}$ -component is given by

$$K^\mathbb{C}(\exp X_z \exp(e_1 + \cdots + e_m)) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -z & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The complex differential of $\exp X_z$ at $e_1 + \cdots + e_m$ is then the linear mapping

$$(95) \quad d \exp X_z(e_1 + \cdots + e_m)Y = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ z & 1 \end{pmatrix} Y,$$

where we have identified the tangent spaces with $\mathfrak{p}^+ = M_{nm}(\mathbb{C})$. Clearly, the determinant of this mapping is

$$(96) \quad \det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ z & 1 \end{pmatrix}^m = 1.$$

Consider now the subgroup M_0 . Its Lie algebra $\mathfrak{m}_0$ is reductive with Cartan involution given by the restriction of θ and the corresponding

decomposition is

$$\mathfrak{m}_0 = \mathfrak{m}_0 \cap \mathfrak{l} \oplus \mathfrak{m}_0 \cap \mathfrak{q}.$$

The abelian subalgebra $\mathfrak{a}$ is included in $\mathfrak{m}_0 \cap \mathfrak{q}$, and therefore (cf. [10], Prop. 7.29)

$$(97) \quad \mathfrak{m}_0 \cap \mathfrak{q} = \bigcup_{l \in M_0 \cap L} \text{Ad}(l)\mathfrak{a}.$$

We now investigate the Jacobians of arbitrary group elements in A . For $H = t_1 E_1 + \cdots + t_m E_m$,

$$\exp H = \begin{pmatrix} \Delta(\underline{\cosh} t) & 0 & \Delta(\underline{\sinh} t) \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ \Delta(\underline{\sinh} t) & 0 & \Delta(\underline{\cosh} t) \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\Delta(\underline{\cosh} t)$ denotes the $m \times m$ diagonal matrix with entries $\cosh t_1, \dots, \cosh t_m$, and the other blocks are analogously defined. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \exp(t_1 E_1 + \cdots + t_m E_m) \exp(e_1 + \cdots + e_m) \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \Delta(\underline{\cosh} t) & 0 & \Delta(\underline{\cosh} t + \underline{\sinh} t) \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ \Delta(\underline{\sinh} t) & 0 & \Delta(\underline{\cosh} t + \underline{\sinh} t) \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

The $K^{\mathbb{C}}$ -component is

$$\begin{aligned} & K^{\mathbb{C}}(\exp(t_1 E_1 + \cdots + t_m E_m) \exp(e_1 + \cdots + e_m)) \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \Delta(\underline{e^{-t}}) & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \Delta(\underline{e^t}) \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

so the differential $d(\exp(t_1 E_1 + \cdots + t_m E_m))(e_1 + \cdots + e_m)$ is the mapping

$$\begin{pmatrix} Y_1 \\ Y_2 \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} \Delta(\underline{e^{-2t}}) Y_1 \\ Y_2 \Delta(\underline{e^{-t}}) \end{pmatrix},$$

where Y_1 is the upper $m \times m$ block of the $n \times m$ matrix in the tangent space. Counting the multiplicities of the eigenvalues e^{-t_j} , we see that

$$(98) \quad J_{\exp(t_1 E_1 + \cdots + t_m E_m)}(e_1 + \cdots + e_m) = e^{-(n+m) \sum_{j=1}^m t_j}.$$

If we write $\mathfrak{a}$ as the orthogonal sum $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{a}_0 \oplus (\mathfrak{a}_0)^{\perp}$ (with respect to the Killing form), then $(\mathfrak{a}_0)^{\perp}$ consists of those $t_1 E_1 + \cdots + t_m E_m$ in $\mathfrak{a}$

for which $\sum_{j=1}^m t_j = 0$. From the identities (94), (96), (97), and (98) we can thus conclude that

$$(99) \quad J_h(e_1 + \cdots + e_m) = J_{A_0(h)}(e_1 + \cdots + e_m).$$

On the other hand, by (93),

$$(100) \quad \begin{aligned} & 2\rho_0(t(E_1 + \cdots + E_m))) \\ &= 2\frac{m(m-1)}{2}t + m(n-m)t = m(n-1)t, \end{aligned}$$

so

$$(101) \quad \begin{aligned} & e^{-2\rho_0(t(E_1 + \cdots + E_m))} \\ &= (J_{\exp(t(E_1 + \cdots + E_m))}(e_1 + \cdots + e_m))^{\frac{n-1}{n+m}}. \end{aligned}$$

□

In what follows, we will define a Hilbert space of functions on the manifold Y . Hilbert spaces of a similar kind were also considered by Neretin and Olshanski in [19]. One difference is that their spaces were not defined using a limit procedure (see the next definition below).

We begin by introducing some notation. For a continuous function, f , on Y and $r \in (0, 1)$, we define the function $F_r : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$(102) \quad F_r(u) := \int_Y f(v) \det(I_n - ruv^t)^{-1} d\omega(v).$$

We construct the Hilbert space by requiring that the following space of functions be dense.

Definition 11. Let $\mathcal{C}_0$ denote the set of all continuous functions $f : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that the limit function

$$F(u) := \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} F_r(u)$$

exists in the supremum norm.

On $\mathcal{C}_0$ we define a sesquilinear form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{C}_0}$ by

$$(103) \quad \langle f, g \rangle_{\mathcal{C}_0} = \int_Y f(u) \overline{G(u)} d\omega(u).$$

By the Dominated Convergence Theorem, we have

$$(104) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_Y f(u) \overline{G(u)} d\omega(u) \\ &= \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} \int_Y f(u) \int_Y \overline{g(v)} \det(I_n - ruv^t)^{-1} d\omega(v) d\omega(u), \end{aligned}$$

and hence the form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{C}_0}$ is positive semidefinite. Let $\mathcal{N}$ denote the space of functions of norm zero, i.e.,

$$(105) \quad \mathcal{N} = \{f \in \mathcal{C}_0 \mid \langle f, f \rangle_{\mathcal{C}_0} = 0\}.$$

Then the quotient space $\mathcal{C}_0/\mathcal{N}$ together with the induced sesquilinear form, $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{C}_0/\mathcal{N}}$, is a pre-Hilbert space. We define $\mathcal{C}$ to be the Hilbert space completion of $\mathcal{C}_0$ with respect to $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{C}_0/\mathcal{N}}$. We denote the inner product on $\mathcal{C}$ by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{C}}$.

Proposition 12. *The action τ of H on $\mathcal{C}_0$ given by*

$$(106) \quad \tau(h)f(\eta) := J_{h^{-1}}(\eta)^\beta f(h^{-1}\eta),$$

where $\beta = \frac{n-2}{n+m}$, *descends to a unitary representation of H on $\mathcal{C}$.*

Proof. It suffices to prove that the dense subspace $\mathcal{C}_0/\mathcal{N}$ of $\mathcal{C}$ is H -invariant and that the action is unitary on $\mathcal{C}_0/\mathcal{N}$. For this, it clearly suffices to prove that the space $\mathcal{C}_0$ is H -invariant, and that H preserves the sesquilinear form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{C}_0}$, since then the subspace $\mathcal{N}$ is also H -invariant.

Consider first the mapping $f \mapsto F$ in Definition 11. We write K_1 for the reproducing kernel. For $h \in H$, we then have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_Y \tau(h)f(v)K_1(ru, v)d\omega(v) &= \int_Y J_h(h^{-1}v)^{-\beta} f(h^{-1}v)K_1(ru_1, v)d\omega(v) \\ &= \int_Y J_h(v')^{-\beta + \frac{n-1}{n+m}} f(v')K_1(ru_1, hv')d\omega(v'), \end{aligned}$$

by the transformation property for the measure ω . By the transformation rule for the reproducing kernel K_1 , we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_Y J_h(v')^{-\beta + \frac{n-1}{n+m}} f(v')K_1(ru_1, hv')d\omega(v') \\ &= \int_Y J_h(h^{-1}ru)^{-\frac{1}{n+m}} f(v')K_1(h^{-1}ru, v')d\omega(v'). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 1} \int_Y \tau(h)f(v)K_1(ru, v)d\omega(v) = J_h(h^{-1}u)^{-\frac{1}{n+m}} F(h^{-1}u),$$

where the convergence is uniform in u , so $\mathcal{C}_0$ is H -invariant.

Next, take $f, g \in \mathcal{C}_0$. Then, $\langle \tau(h)f, \tau(h)g \rangle_{\mathcal{C}_0}$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \tau(h)f, \tau(h)g \rangle_{\mathcal{C}_0} &= \int_Y J_h(h^{-1}u) f(h^{-1}u) J_h(h^{-1}u)^{-\frac{1}{n+m}} \overline{G(h^{-1}u)} d\omega(u) \\ &= \int_Y f(v') \overline{G(v')} d\omega(v') \\ &= \langle f, g \rangle_{\mathcal{C}_0}, \end{aligned}$$

where the second equality follows from the transformation property of ω . $\square$

The next proposition gives a sufficient condition for the Hilbert space $\mathcal{C}$ to be nonzero.

Proposition 13. *The (equivalence class modulo $\mathcal{N}$ of the) constant function 1 belongs to the pre-Hilbert space $\mathcal{C}_0/\mathcal{N}$ if and only if $n-m > 2$.*

Proof. Recall that the reproducing kernel has a series expansion

$$\det(I_n - zw^*)^{-1} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k! K_k(z, w),$$

where $K_k(z, w)$ is the reproducing kernel with respect to the Fock-Fischer norm for the K -type indexed by k . The functions

$$z \mapsto \int_Y K_{2k}(z, v) d\omega$$

are then L -invariant vectors in the K -type $2k$ and hence differ from the L -invariants ψ_k by some constants depending on k . We determine these by computing the integrals for a suitable choice of z .

Before we begin with the computations, consider the fibration

$$p : Y \rightarrow S^{n-1}, p(v) = v(e_1).$$

For $u \in S^{n-1}$, the fibre $p^{-1}(u)$ can be identified with the set of all rank $m-1$ partial isometries from $\mathbb{R}^m$ to $(\mathbb{R}u)^\perp$. Moreover, p is equivariant with respect to the actions of $O(n)$ on Y and S^{n-1} . Hence the equality

$$(107) \quad \int_{S^{n-1}} f d\sigma = \int_Y f \circ p d\omega,$$

where σ denotes the normalised rotation invariant measure on S^{n-1} , holds for all $f \in C(S^{n-1})$.

Choose now $z = \lambda e_1$, where $0 < \lambda < 1$. Since zv^t is a matrix of rank one, $\det(I_n - zv^t)^{-1} = (1 - \operatorname{tr}(zv^t))^{-1}$. Hence

$$\int_Y (1 - \operatorname{tr}(zv^t))^{-1} d\omega = \int_Y (1 - \lambda v_{11})^{-1} d\omega = \int_Y (1 - \lambda p(v)_1)^{-1} d\omega.$$

By (107), we have

$$\int_Y (1 - \lambda p(v)_1)^{-1} d\omega = \int_{S^{n-1}} (1 - \lambda u_1)^{-1} d\sigma(u).$$

Moreover,

$$\int_{S^{n-1}} (1 - \lambda u_1)^{-1} d\sigma(u) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \lambda^j \int_{S^{n-1}} u_1^j d\sigma(u).$$

The integrands on the right hand side depend only on the first coordinate, and hence the integrals can be written as integrals over the open interval $(-1, 1)$ in $\mathbb{R}$ (cf. [24] 1.4.4.). In fact,

$$\int_{S^{n-1}} u_1^j d\sigma(u) = \frac{\Gamma(n/2)}{\Gamma(1/2)\Gamma((n-1)/2)} \int_{-1}^1 (1 - x^2)^{(n-2)/2-1} x^j dx.$$

This integral is zero for odd j , and for $j = 2k$, we have

$$\int_{-1}^1 (1 - x^2)^{(n-2)/2-1} x^j dx = B\left(\frac{2k+1}{2}, \frac{n-1}{2}\right) := \frac{\Gamma(\frac{2k+1}{2})\Gamma(\frac{n-1}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{2k+n}{2})}.$$

Therefore,

$$\int_{S^{n-1}} (1 - \lambda u_1)^{-1} d\sigma(u) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2})\Gamma(\frac{2k+1}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})\Gamma(\frac{2k+n}{2})} \lambda^{2k}.$$

From this, it follows that for an arbitrary $z \in \mathscr{D}$, we have the expansion

$$\begin{aligned} (108) \quad & \int_Y \det(I_n - zv^t)^{-1} d\omega(v) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2})\Gamma(\frac{2k+1}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})\Gamma(\frac{2k+n}{2})\Gamma(2k+1)} \psi_k(z). \end{aligned}$$

Since the functions ψ_k are L -invariant, they are constant on the set $\{ru | u \in Y, 0 < r < 1\}$. This value equals

$$(109) \quad \psi_k(ru) = r^{2k} \psi_k(u) = r^{2k} 4^k k! \left(\frac{m}{2}\right)_k.$$

Suppose now that $|r - r'| < \epsilon$. By (108) and (109),

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_Y K_1(ru, v) d\omega(v) - \int_Y K_1(r'u, v) d\omega(v) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2})\Gamma(\frac{2k+1}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})\Gamma(\frac{2k+n}{2})\Gamma(2k+1)} 4^k k! \left(\frac{m}{2}\right)_k (r^{2k} - (r')^{2k}), \end{aligned}$$

and hence we have the estimate

$$(110) \quad \left| \int_Y K_1(ru, v) d\omega(v) - \int_Y K_1(r'u, v) d\omega(v) \right| \leq \epsilon \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2})\Gamma(\frac{2k+1}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})\Gamma(\frac{2k+n}{2})\Gamma(2k+1)} 4^k k! \left(\frac{m}{2}\right)_k.$$

Applying Sterling's formula to the k th term on the right hand side yields

$$(111) \quad \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2})\Gamma(\frac{2k+1}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})\Gamma(\frac{2k+n}{2})\Gamma(2k+1)} 4^k k! \left(\frac{m}{2}\right)_k = O(k^{-\frac{n-m}{2}}).$$

Hence, the sum in (110) converges if and only if $n-m > 2$. In this case, the corresponding net $\{\int_Y K_1(r \cdot, v) d\omega\}_r$ is Cauchy in the supremum norm, and hence converges uniformly. $\square$

Lemma 14. *Consider the representation τ in (106). On the space of continuous functions on Y , it is equivalent to the representation $\text{Ind}_P^H(1 \otimes (i\lambda + \rho) \otimes 1)$, where P is the minimal parabolic subgroup defined by the maximal abelian subspace $\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{p}$, and $\lambda \in (\mathfrak{a}^\mathbb{C})^*$ is defined as*

$$(112) \quad -(i\lambda + \rho)|_{\mathfrak{a}_0} = -\frac{2(n-2)}{n-1} \rho_0,$$

$$(113) \quad -(i\lambda + \rho)|_{\mathfrak{a}_0^\perp} = 0.$$

In fact, when the continuous functions on Y are identified with right $L \cap M_0$ -invariant functions on L , we can extend them to functions on H in such a way that the two representations are equal in this setting.

Proof. By (101), we can rewrite the action of H in (106) as

$$(114) \quad \tau(h)f(x) = e^{-\frac{2(n-2)}{n-1} \rho_0(\log A_0(g^{-1}x))} f(\kappa(g^{-1}x)),$$

where

$$g^{-1}x = \kappa(g^{-1}x)m_0(g^{-1}x)A_0(g^{-1}x)n_0(g^{-1}x) \in LM_0A_0N_0.$$

We now let $\lambda \in (\mathfrak{a}^\mathbb{C})^*$ be defined by the requirements (112) and (113).

By (113), $-(i\lambda + \rho)$ has to annihilate all the restricted root spaces $\mathfrak{h}_{E_j^* - E_i^*}$, and hence be of the form $c(E_1^* + \cdots + E_m^*)$ for some constant c . By (100) it follows that $c = -m(n - 2)$.

Consider now the parabolically induced representation

$$\text{Ind}_P^H(1 \otimes \exp(i\lambda + \rho) \otimes 1)$$

acting on continuous functions on H . By definition, this representation is defined on the space of continuous functions $f : H \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ having the P -equivariant property

$$(115) \quad f(xman) = e^{-i(\lambda + \rho)(\log a)} f(x).$$

The action of H is given by

$$(116) \quad f \xrightarrow{h} e^{-(i\lambda + \rho)(A(h^{-1}x))} f(\kappa(h^{-1}x)).$$

On the other hand, the restriction of the representation τ to the space of continuous functions on Y coincides with the H -action defined by the parabolically induced representation $\text{Ind}_{P_0}^H(\exp)$. Since $P \subset P_0$, and

$$(117) \quad e^{-(i\lambda + \rho)(\log A(x))} = e^{-(i\lambda + \rho)(\log A_0(x))},$$

it follows that

$$(118) \quad \tau(h)f(x) = e^{-(i\lambda + \rho)(\log A(h^{-1}x))} f(\kappa(h^{-1}x)),$$

where f is the extension of a continuous function on Y to a P_0 -equivariant function on H . This finishes the proof. $\square$

Proposition 15. *The operator $T : \mathcal{C}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{D})$ defined by*

$$Tf(z) = \int_Y f(v) \det(I_n - zv^t)^{-1} d\omega(v)$$

is H -equivariant.

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} T(\tau(h)f)(z) &= \int_Y J_h(h^{-1}v)^\beta f(h^{-1}v) K_1(z, v) d\omega \\ &= \int_Y J_h(s)^{\beta + \frac{n-1}{n+m}} f(s) K_1(z, hs) d\omega \\ &= J_h(h^{-1}z)^{-\frac{1}{n+m}} \int_Y J_h(s)^{\beta + \frac{n-1}{n+m} - \frac{1}{n+m}} f(s) K_1(h^{-1}z, s) d\omega \\ &= \pi_1(h)(Tf)(z). \end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary 16. *The function $T1$ is a joint eigenfunction for all operators $\pi_1(Z)$, $Z \in Z(U(\mathfrak{h}^\mathbb{C}))$. In particular, it is an eigenfunction for the Casimir operator, $\pi_1(\mathcal{C})$, with eigenvalue $-\frac{m(n-2)}{4}$.*

Proof. By Lemma 14, we can identify the extension of constant function 1 on Y to a function on H with the Harish-Chandra e -function $e_\lambda : H \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ given by

$$(119) \quad e_\lambda(h) = e^{-i(\lambda+\rho)(\log A(h))}.$$

Moreover, the representation $\text{Ind}_P^H(1 \otimes \exp(i\lambda + \rho) \otimes 1)$ has infinitesimal character $i\lambda + \rho$ (cf. [10], Ch. VIII). The value of the Casimir element is $-(i\lambda + \rho)(\mathcal{C}) = -(\langle \lambda, \lambda \rangle + \langle \rho, \rho \rangle) = -\frac{m(n-2)}{4}$ (cf. [11], Ch. V). □

Proposition 17. *The function $(T1)(z) = \int_Y \det(I_n - zv^t)^{-1} d\omega(v)$ belongs to $\mathcal{H}_1$.*

Proof. We rewrite the series expansion in (108) using the orthonormal basis $\{\varphi_k\}$, i.e.,

$$(120) \quad \int_Y K_1(z, v) d\omega(v) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \alpha_k \varphi_k(z),$$

where $\alpha_k = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2})\Gamma(\frac{2k+1}{2})4^k k! (\frac{n}{2})_{2k}^{1/2} (\frac{m}{2})_{2k}^{1/2}}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})\Gamma(\frac{2k+n}{2})\Gamma(2k+1)}$. By Sterling's formula

$$(121) \quad \alpha_k^2 = O(k^{-(n-m)/2}),$$

and hence the series $\sum_k \alpha_k^2$ converges if and only if $n - m > 2$. □

The operator T maps the H -span (the set of all finite linear combinations $c_1\tau(h_1)1 + \cdots + c_N\tau(h_N)1$, $h_i \in H, c_i \in \mathbb{C}$) of the function 1 into $\mathcal{H}_1$. We introduce the temporary notation $H \cdot 1$ to denote this subspace. Moreover, we let $\mathcal{N}_{H \cdot 1} := \mathcal{N} \cap H \cdot 1$.

Proposition 18. *The equality*

$$(122) \quad \langle Tf, Tf \rangle_1 = \langle f, f \rangle_{\mathcal{C}_0}$$

holds for $f \in H \cdot 1$.

Proof. For $f \in H \cdot 1$ and $r \in (0, 1)$, consider the function $Tf(r \cdot)$. We have

$$(123) \quad Tf(rz) = \int_Y f(v) K_1(rz, v) d\omega(v)$$

$$(124) \quad = \int_Y f(v) K_1(z, rv) d\omega(v).$$

The square of the $\mathcal{H}_1$ -norm is then given by

$$\|Tp(r \cdot)\|_1^2 = \int_Y \int_Y f(\zeta) \overline{f(\eta)} K_1(r\zeta, r\eta) d\omega(\zeta) d\omega(\eta).$$

These norms are uniformly bounded in r , and hence there is a convergent sequence $\{Tf(r_k \cdot)\}_k$ with respect to the $\mathcal{H}_1$ -norm. Since point evaluation functionals are continuous, we also have pointwise convergence, and hence this limit function is Tf . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \|Tf\|_1^2 &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|Tf(r_k \cdot)\|_1^2 \\ &= \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} \int_Y \int_Y f(\zeta) \overline{f(\eta)} K_1(r^2\zeta, \eta) d\omega(\zeta) d\omega(\eta) \\ &= \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}_0}^2. \end{aligned}$$

□

We let T_1 denote the restriction of the operator T to the subspace $H \cdot 1$. Then, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 19. *For the operator $T_1 : H \cdot 1 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_1$,*

$$(125) \quad \ker T_1 = \mathcal{N}_{H \cdot 1}.$$

The operator T_1 then descends to an operator $U_1 : H \cdot 1 / \mathcal{N}_{H \cdot 1} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_1$. Now let $\mathcal{H}$ denote the Hilbert space completion of the space $H \cdot 1 / \mathcal{N}_{H \cdot 1}$. We keep the letter τ to denote the representation of H of this space (in reality, the representation we mean is derived from τ by first restricting, then descending to a quotient, and, finally, by extending uniquely to a Hilbert space completion).

Proposition 20. *The representation τ of H on $\mathcal{H}$ is irreducible.*

Proof. The representation τ is H -cyclic with a spherical (L -invariant) vector. Hence, there exists a unitary, H -equivariant direct integral decomposition

$$(126) \quad S : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \int_{\Lambda} \mathcal{H}_{\lambda} d\mu(\lambda),$$

where Λ is a subset of the bounded spherical functions (or rather, the functionals on $\mathfrak{a}$ that parametrise them), μ is some measure on Λ , and $\mathcal{H}_\lambda$ is the canonical spherical unitary representation corresponding to the spherical function ϕ_λ . For each λ , we let v_λ denote the canonical spherical vector in $\mathcal{H}_\lambda$.

Suppose now that τ is not irreducible, i.e., the set Λ is not a singleton set. Then, we can choose two disjoint open subsets Ω_1, Ω_2 of Λ . We define vectors s_1 and s_2 in the Hilbert space $\int_\Lambda H_\lambda d\mu$ by

$$s_1(\lambda) = \begin{cases} v_\lambda, & \text{if } \lambda \in \Omega_1 \\ 0_\lambda, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases},$$

$$s_2(\lambda) = \begin{cases} v_\lambda, & \text{if } \lambda \in \Omega_2 \\ 0_\lambda, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

The vectors $S^{-1}s_1$ and $S^{-1}s_2$ are then linearly independent spherical vectors in $\mathcal{H}$. But, clearly, the only spherical vectors in $\mathcal{H}$ are the (cosets modulo $\mathcal{N}_{H,1}$ of the) constant functions; a contradiction. $\square$

We are now ready to state a subrepresentation theorem. The proof follows from Prop. 18, the above corollary, and Cor. 16.

Theorem 21. *The operator U_1 can be extended to an isometric H -intertwining operator*

$$(127) \quad U : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_1.$$

Its image is isomorphic to the spherical unitary representation corresponding to the discrete point $\{i(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{n-m}{4})\}$ in the spectral decomposition for the Casimir operator $\pi_1(\mathcal{C})$.

REFERENCES

1. Akhiezer, N. I. and Glazman, I. M., “Theory of linear operators in Hilbert space”, Translated from the Russian and with a preface by Merlynd Nestell, Reprint of the 1961 and 1963 translations, Two volumes bound as one, Dover Publications Inc., New York, 1993
2. Davidson, Mark and Ólafsson, Gestur and Zhang, Genkai, *Laplace and Segal-Bargmann transforms on Hermitian symmetric spaces and orthogonal polynomials*, J. Funct. Anal., **204**, (2003), 1, 157–195
3. Faraut, J. and Korányi, A. *Function spaces and reproducing kernels on bounded symmetric domains* J. Funct. Anal. **88**, (1990), 1, 64–89
4. Faraut, J. and Korányi, A. “Analysis on symmetric cones” Oxford Mathematical Monographs, Oxford Science Publications, The Clarendon Press Oxford University Press, New York, 1994

5. Helgason, Sigurdur “Differential geometry, Lie groups, and symmetric spaces”, Pure and Applied Mathematics, 80, Academic Press Inc. [Harcourt Brace Jovanovich Publishers], New York, 1978
6. Helgason, Sigurdur “Groups and geometric analysis” Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, 83, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2000
7. Howe, Roger *Transcending classical invariant theory* J. Amer. Math. Soc., **2**, (1989, 3, 535–552
8. Jakobsen, Hans Plesner and Vergne, Michèle *Restrictions and expansions of holomorphic representations* J. Funct. Anal., **34**, (1979), 1, 29–53
9. Kashiwara, M. and Vergne, M. *On the Segal-Shale-Weil representations and harmonic polynomials* Invent. Math., **44**, (1978), 1, 1–47
10. Knapp, Anthony W. “Representation theory of semisimple groups , an overview based on examples” Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2001
11. Knapp, Anthony W. “Lie groups beyond an introduction” Progress in Mathematics, 140, Birkhäuser Boston Inc., Boston, MA, 2002
12. Kobayashi, Toshiyuki, *Multiplicity-free representations and visible actions on complex manifolds*, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci., Kyoto University. Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences. Publications, **41**, (2005), 3, 497–549
13. Kobayashi, Toshiyuki, *Propagation of multiplicity-free property for holomorphic vector bundles*, Preprint.
14. Kobayashi, Toshiyuki, *Visible actions on symmetric spaces*, Preprint.
15. Kobayashi, Toshiyuki and Ørsted, Bent *Analysis on the minimal representation of $O(p, q)$, II. Branching laws* Adv. Math., **180**, (2003), 2, 513–550
16. Koekoek, R., Swarttouw, R.F., *The Askey-scheme of hypergeometric orthogonal polynomials and its q -analogue*, Report 98-17, Technical University Delft, Delft, 1998
17. Neretin, Yu. A. *Beta integrals and finite orthogonal systems of Wilson polynomials* Mat. Sb., **193**, (2002), 7, 131–148
18. Neretin, Yurii A. *Plancherel formula for Berezin deformation of L^2 on Riemannian symmetric space* J. Funct. Anal., **189**, (2002), 2, 336–408
19. Neretin, Yu. A. and Olshanski, G. I., *Boundary values of holomorphic functions, singular unitary representations of the groups $O(p, q)$ and their limits as $q \rightarrow \infty$* , Zap. Nauchn. Sem. S.-Peterburg. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. (POMI), **223**, (1995), Teor. Predstav. Din. Sistemy, Kombin. i Algoritm. Metody. I, 9–91
20. Ólafsson, Gestur, *Analytic continuation in representation theory and harmonic analysis*, Global analysis and harmonic analysis (Marseille-Luminy, 1999), Sémin. Congr., 4, 201–233, Soc. Math. France, Paris, 2000
21. Ólafsson, Gestur and Ørsted, Bent, *Generalizations of the Bargmann transform*, Lie theory and its applications in physics (Clausthal, 1995), 3–14, World Sci. Publ., River Edge, NJ, 1996
22. Peng, Lizhong and Zhang, Genkai, *Tensor products of holomorphic representations and bilinear differential operators*, J. Funct. Anal., **210**, 2004, 1, 171–192
23. Rossi, H. and Vergne, M. *Analytic continuation of the holomorphic discrete series of a semi-simple Lie group* Acta Math., **136**, (1976), 1-2, 1–59

24. Rudin, Walter “Function theory in the unit ball of $\mathbf{C}^n$ ” Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Science], 241, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1980
25. Rudin, Walter “Functional analysis” International Series in Pure and Applied Mathematics, McGraw-Hill Inc., New York, 1991
26. Satake, Ichirô, “Algebraic structures of symmetric domains”, Kanô Memorial Lectures, 4, Iwanami Shoten, Tokyo, 1980
27. Schmid, Wilfried, *Homogeneous complex manifolds and representations of semisimple Lie groups*, Representation theory and harmonic analysis on semisimple Lie groups, Math. Surveys Monogr., **31**, 223–286 Dissertation, University of California, Berkeley, CA, 1967
28. Seppänen, H., *Branching of some holomorphic representations of $SO(2, n)$* , Journal of Lie theory (to appear)
29. Seppänen, H. *Tube domains and restrictions of minimal representations*, Preprint
30. van Dijk, G. and Pevzner, M. *Berezin kernels of tube domains* J. Funct. Anal., **181**, (2001), 2, 189–208
31. Wallach, Nolan R. *The analytic continuation of the discrete series. I, II* Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **251**, (1979), 1–17, 19–37
32. Zhang, Genkai, *Berezin transform on real bounded symmetric domains* Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **353**, (2001), 9, 3769–3787 (electronic)
33. Zhang, Genkai, *Tensor products of minimal holomorphic representations* Represent. Theory, **5**, (2001), 164–190 (electronic)
34. Zhang, Genkai, *Branching coefficients of holomorphic representations and Segal-Bargmann transform* J. Funct. Anal., **195**, (2002), 2, 306–349

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, CHALMERS UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY
AND GÖTEBORG UNIVERSITY, GÖTEBORG, SWEDEN

E-mail address: henriks@math.chalmers.se